Surface Engineering for the Control of Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Wettability Characteristics Using Laser Beam Wavelength
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The science and technology behind using laser beam wavelength and the subsequent manipulation of the polar component of the surface free energy of a material (through atmospheric oxygen content) to design and control the contact angle behaviour of the surface of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) is presented. The findings indicate that the theory will be applicable to most polymers. When exposed to infrared (IR) CO2 laser irradiation the PET surface experienced no change in chemistry and, therefore, no change in polar component occurred. There was, however, topography change to produce a rougher surface; consequently, contact angle followed the Wenzel, etc. theories and increased, changing the surface from hydrophilic to hydrophobic. In contrast, ultraviolet (UV) KrF excimer laser beam radiation altered both the topography and chemistry of the PET surface. The very nature of processing polymers with UV laser radiation inherently generates a surface that is more oxygen-rich with enhanced functional groups, which cause an increase in its polar nature. This UV laser irradiation–induced enhanced polar nature has more of an effect than topography for polymers, which, in turn, effects a decrease in contact angle. In this instance the PET surface became more hydrophilic. There is now movement towards a new science-based platform from which to assess, research and establish the methodologies for wettability characteristics design and modification through controlled laser beam exposure.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In many instances, the only way to meet the demand for relatively inexpensive and easy to engineer polymeric materials possessing surface properties appropriate for the intended application is through surface engineering. Industrial and academic sectors driving polymer surface engineering research activity are in printing [1], electronics [2, 3], food packaging [4, 5], biological tissue engineering [6-8], anti-bacterial barriers [9, 10], coating technologies [11, 12] and adhesion [13-15]. Certain applications mean that wettability plays the lead role in increasing the functionality of a surface. Greater understanding of the fundamentals of wettability characteristics have enabled researchers working in the field of surface treatment to modify the wettability characteristics of polymeric materials [16-24].

The low surface free energy and chemical inertness of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) gift it with poor adhesive bonding characteristics; consequently, it is very difficult to wet and, therefore, bond PET without surface engineering to enhance its wettability characteristics. Mechanical and flame methods, which essentially roughen the polymer surface to promote improved mechanical bonding, have been used, but these cause increased degradation and reduce mechanical strength [25]. Chemical treatments to primarily increase the surface oxygen content of various polymer materials have been used, but are often accompanied by an undesirable loss in strength. [26-28]. Plasma treatments have also shown a good deal of promise for polymer material surface modification [29-32], with many fold increases in the interfacial shear strength being occasioned after some plasma treatments. Hot embossing has also been demonstrated as a successful means for enhancing wettability characteristics [33]. Still, despite the very beneficial improvements in interfacial shear strength, the actual process itself is extremely complex and, consequently, somewhat difficult to control.

A point of considerable importance with all of these existing process is that they have a tendency to alter the bulk properties rather than just the uppermost surface layer. Lasers, on the other hand, can offer the user not only an exceedingly high degree of process controllability, but also a great deal of process flexibility.

Ultraviolet (UV) excimer laser radiation has been shown to be a very effective means of enhancing the wettability characteristics of polymer materials. Considerable research effort has been expended to study the effects of excimer laser radiation on the wettability characteristics of polyethylene PET in its many forms [33-35]. Breuer et al. [36] demonstrated that when processing with optimum excimer laser parameters, improvements in and control of the adhesion strength polypropylene (PP) films could be achieved. It was suggested that such improvements were the result of the excimer laser surface
engineering generating a more polar surface. This increased polarity of surfaces following excimer laser surface engineering was also reported by Laurens et al. [37, 38] in their work on polyether-etherketon (PEEK), adding that the choice of laser wavelength had a crucial influence on the resultant wettability characteristics of the PEEK. Song and Netravali [39-41] examined the effects of excimer laser radiation on the interfacial characteristics of UHSPE fibres and epoxy resin with a view increasing interfacial shear strength. Considerable increases in the interfacial shear strength were achieved and were ascribed to more favourable wettability characteristics through increased surface roughness, surface oxygen content and polar nature after excimer laser surface engineering. Using a femtosecond laser, Yoon et al. [42] produced a superhydrophobic surface on poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) by creating a roughened surface in the nanoscale and microscale to give topography akin to the Lotus leaf. Toosi et al. [43] presented a comprehensive review on state-of-the-art in excimer laser ablation of micropatterns and nanopatterns into the surfaces of polymers for wettability characteristics modification, and successfully used excimer laser beams to ablate the surface of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) to produce surfaces with superhydrophobic behaviour [44].

Infrared (IR) lasers have been demonstrated as viable and flexible means of modifying the wettability characteristics of various polymers. Wettability characteristics have been modified by Waugh et al. [43-45] using a CO2 laser beam to generate hydrophilic surfaces on nylon 6,6. The levels of accuracy and control obtained were sufficiently high that surfaces with bespoke levels of bioactivity were created. Khorasani et al. [46] used CO2 laser radiation for surface modification of PDMS to produce superhydrophobic surfaces. These workers found that the laser treated surface contained carbonate groups which enriched the oxygen content and the hydrophobicity was found to be a function of the number of laser pulses. Many applications require hydrophobic and superhydrophobic surfaces, such as antibacterial barriers. Surfaces with controlled levels of hydrophilicity were CO2 laser engineered onto food packaging PET films by Waugh and Lawrence [47] and Lawrence and Waugh [48], with the outcome being tailored surfaces that offered bacterial resistance. As a means of controlling the wettability and adhesion characteristics of polymers, both UV and IR lasers have been assessed for the laser surface engineering of polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) [49], polyethylene (PE) [50], nylon 6,6 and PET [51]. These workers found that laser beam wavelength was a control parameter and with the correct selection of wavelength and process parameters, contact angle could be manipulated with high accuracy, resolution and repeatability. Later work by Waugh and Lawrence [52] refined the CO2 laser engineering of PMMA for the modification of wettability characteristics through surface patterning.
The work described herein moves this current knowledge on to the next level by presenting a methodology for controlling the wettability characteristics of PET by surface engineering; thus allowing the design and production of surface characteristics tailored for specific applications. Laser beam wavelength and operating parameters selection are the means of control and the science and technology underpinning this work will be applicable to most polymers. The controlled surface engineering of the PET was achieved using a CO$_2$ laser and a KrF excimer laser. Analytical techniques were employed to determine the topography and chemistry of the surface of the PET before and after laser beam interaction. It was found that laser surface engineering caused the contact angle to follow the established theories of Wenzel, etc.; however, this was wavelength dependant. The governing effect of surface oxygen content on the polar component of the surface free energy of the PET was identified as a processing parameter.

2 EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1 Laser surface engineering procedure

2.1.1 Material specification and sample preparation
PET films (Goodfellow, Ltd.), biaxially oriented and of 0.25 mm thickness were used for the experimentation. Samples were cut into 10 × 15 cm$^2$ billets for experimental purposes. Prior to laser surface engineering the films were cleaned in acetone and then in ethanol for three minutes each at room temperature then dried in a sample drier for a minimum of an hour.

2.1.2 CO$_2$ laser surface engineering methodology
The CO$_2$ laser used was a 60 W CO$_2$ laser system (Firestar; Synrad, Inc.) including a galvanometric scanning head. This CO$_2$ laser emitted a Gaussian beam at 10.6 $\mu$m in the continuous wave (CW) mode. In order to create the desired pattern upon the PET film surface Synrad Winmark Pro software (Synrad, Inc.) was used to scan the laser beam within a square working field of 110 × 110 mm$^2$. The experimental billets were then secured down with a bracket and positioned at the focal point which was 190 mm away from the surface of the sample to the focussing lens on the galvanometric head. All samples were treated in ambient air and an extraction system was used to remove any fumes produced during the laser processing.

Constant laser parameters were used for all patterns produced. The power was set to 10.0% (6 W), the transverse scanning speed was set to 400 mm/s and the spot size was maintained at 95 $\mu$m. Sample sets of two different track and two different hatch patterns were produced: tracks with 350 and 400 $\mu$m spacing between each line were used to create the different CO$_2$ laser surface...
engineered patterns. These track samples were labelled CO2_4T and CO2_5T and the hatch samples were labelled CO2_4H and CO2_5H, for 350 and 400 μm spacings, respectively, for both patterns. It should be noted here that the dimensions stated for the laser engineered samples are those of the CO2 laser scanning dimensions and not of the resulting laser engineered patterns. A total of 18 samples were produced for each of the four sample sets. Full details are given in Table 1.

2.1.3 KrF excimer laser surface engineering methodology

A KrF excimer laser (EMG 203 MSC; Lambda Physik, GmbH) emitting a raw 23 × 12 mm² beam at 248 nm was used to irradiate a large section of each billet at a time. In order to hold the sample normal to the beam, a bracket on the optical train was used.

Sample sets of two different track and two different hatch patterns were produced: tracks with 100 pulses at 100 mJ (EX_1T) and 100 pulses at 200 mJ (EX_2T), and hatch patterns with 100 pulses at 100 mJ (EX_1H) and 100 pulses at 200 mJ (EX_2H). This gave fluences of 36 and 72 mJ/cm², respectively for the different energies used. For both sample sets the repetition rate was kept constant at 25 Hz and an Aerotech computer numerical control (CNC) programming ensured that the correct number of pulses was applied to each sample. A spacing of 350 μm was used for both sample sets. It should be noted here that the dimensions stated for the laser engineered samples are those of the KrF laser scanning dimensions and not of the resulting laser engineered patterns. A total of 18 samples were produced for each of the two sample sets. Full details are given in Table 2.

2.2 Topographical and chemical analysis techniques

Before and after laser surface engineering the PET films were analysed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (TM3030 Plus; Hitachi Corporation) to determine the morphological and microstructural characteristics.

To ascertain phase characteristics of the as-received and laser engineered billets X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data was acquired. This was
TABLE 2
Pattern details and laser processing parameters for the KrF excimer laser surface engineered PET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Track</th>
<th>Hatch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centre-to-centre spacing (μm)</td>
<td>EX_1T</td>
<td>EX_2T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse width (ms)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of pulses</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (mJ)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluence (mJ/cm²)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of samples</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

done using a bespoke ultra-high vacuum system fitted with a 150 mm mean radius hemispherical analyser with a 9-channeltron detection (Phoibos; Specs GmbH). XPS spectra were acquired using a non-monochromated Al Kα X-ray source at 1486.6 eV. Survey spectra were acquired over the binding energy range 1100 to 0 eV using a pass energy of 50 eV and high resolution scans were made over the C 1s and O 1s lines using a pass energy of 15 eV. In each case the analysis was an area average over a region approximately 2 mm in diameter on the sample surface. The energy scale of the instrument is calibrated according to ISO standard 15472, and the intensity scale is calibrated using an in-house method traceable to the UK National Physical Laboratory. Data were quantified using Scofield cross sections corrected for the energy dependencies of the electron attenuation lengths and the instrument transmission. Data interpretation was carried out using CasaXPS software v2.3.16.

The surface topography of the as-received and the laser engineered billets was analysed using a confocal chromatic imager (CCI) profilometer (Micromerise2, STIL SA.). Sample sizes of 0.5 × 0.5 mm² were examined for each of the billets analysed. Three CO₂ laser surface engineered, three KrF excimer laser surface engineered and three as-received control billets were analysed. The laser modified samples were ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, ethanol then de-ionized water (dH₂O) for three minutes each at room temperature before measurements were taken. The results were analysed using SurfaceMaps software (STIL SA.) and were expressed as $R_a$ (the arithmetic mean of the departures of the roughness profile from the mean line) and $S_a$ (the surface roughness calculated over an area). Data for $R_t$ (maximum height of profile) and $R_{sk}$ (skewness: symmetry of the profile about the mean) surface parameters were included in order to provide more information on the topographical features of the surfaces.

2.3 Wettability characteristics analysis methodology
Measurement of wettability characteristics is still fraught with complications; however, this study requires comparative data for untreated and laser treated
PET surfaces and so contact angle, $\theta$, measurements were obtained using a goniometer (OCA100; DataPhysics Instruments, GmbH) using the needle-in advancing method, a method deemed and demonstrated previously [44-52] to be more than adequate. Two liquids were used: water and diiodomethane. Prior to contact angle measurements being taken the untreated and laser surface engineered billets were ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, ethanol and finally dH$_2$O for three minutes each at room temperature. The dH$_2$O step was included here to remove any residue left on the PET surface resulting from the acetone and ethanol cleaning stages. To ensure that the sample surfaces were dry, the billets were placed in a specimen dryer (SS; LEEC, Ltd.) for 30 minutes before contact angle measurements were taken.

The surface free energy, $\gamma_s$, of the as-received and laser surface engineered PET was ascertained through initial consideration of the contact angles and application of Young’s equation:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\gamma_s - \gamma_{sl}}{\gamma_l}$$

where $\gamma_l$ is the surface tension of the liquid and $\gamma_{sl}$ is the interfacial energy between the solid and the liquid and can be rewritten as

$$\gamma_s = \gamma_{sl} + \gamma_l \cos \theta$$

In order to calculate $\gamma_s$ from the gathered value of $\theta$, the second unknown variable, $\gamma_{sl}$, in Equation (2) must be determined. Fowkes [53] has proposed partitioning the surface free energy into individual components which assumes that interfacial interactions arising from the properties of the measuring liquid and surface layer determine the quantity $\gamma_s$, stating that the surface free energy of a solid is composed of a sum of individual components:

$$\gamma_s = \gamma_{sd} + \gamma_{sp} + \gamma_{sh} + \gamma_{si} + \gamma_{sb} + \gamma_{so}$$

where $\gamma_{sd}, \gamma_{sp}, \gamma_{sh}, \gamma_{si}$ and $\gamma_{sb}$ are the dispersive (London), polar, hydrogen, induction and acid-base components, respectively, with $\gamma_{so}$ denoting all other interactions that may be present in the system. The Owens, Wendt, Rabel and Kaelble (OWRK) method [54] furthered the work of Fowkes by assuming that all the components on the right-hand side of Equation (3), apart from $\gamma_{sd}$, can be associated with and therefore incorporated in $\gamma_{sp}$. These similar interactions between the phases are interpreted as the geometric mean of the dispersive and polar parts, leading to

$$\gamma_{sl} = \gamma_l + \gamma_s - 2 \left( \sqrt{\gamma_l \gamma_{sd}} + \sqrt{\gamma_l \gamma_{sp}} \right)$$
where \( \gamma_p^s \) and \( \gamma_p^l \) are the polar components, and \( \gamma_d^s \) and \( \gamma_d^l \) are the dispersive components of the solid and liquid, respectively. If Equation (4) is substituted into Equation (2) then we have

\[
y_s = \gamma_l + \gamma_s - 2 \left( \sqrt{\gamma_l^d \gamma_s^d} + \sqrt{\gamma_l^p \gamma_s^p} \right) + \gamma_l \cos \theta
\]

and rearranging yields

\[
\gamma_l(1 + \cos \theta) = 2 \left( \sqrt{\gamma_l^d \gamma_s^d} + \sqrt{\gamma_l^p \gamma_s^p} \right)
\]

Equation (6) brings forth two unknown variables: \( \gamma_d^s \) and \( \gamma_p^l \). If Equation (6) is now transposed in the form of a general equation for a straight line:

\[
\frac{\gamma_l(1 + \cos \theta)}{2\sqrt{\gamma_l^d}} = \sqrt{\gamma_s^p} \sqrt{\gamma_l^p} + \sqrt{\gamma_s^d}
\]

then \( \gamma_d^s \) is found from the axis intercept point and \( \gamma_p^l \) is found from the slope of the linear graph produced using water, ethylene glycol and diiodomethane test liquids. We can now insert the values of \( \gamma_p^s \) and \( \gamma_d^s \) into Equation (4) to determine the value of \( \gamma_{sl} \). Thereafter, the value of \( \gamma_{sl} \) is inserted into Equation (2) with the appropriate values for \( \gamma_l \) and \( \theta \) to calculate the value of \( \gamma_s \).

The associated software used by the goniometer employed in this work use the OWRK method to automatically calculate the value of \( \gamma_s \).

### 2.4 Statistical methods

Statistical analysis was performed by two-way analysis of variance combined with Tukey’s Post Hoc analysis with \( p \geq 0.05 \) considered significant. All data has been analysed using SPSS statistics 22.0 (IBM) and graphical representation of results were produced using SigmaPlot 13.0 (Systat Software, Inc.).

### 3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Topographical analysis

The patterns of the PET film surfaces engineered by the CO\(_2\) laser beam interaction depend upon both the optical system parameters and the material properties of the polymer .\[31, 51, 55\]. The laser parameters determine the incident laser power, spot size, depth of field and divergence of the laser beam, while the thermophysical properties of the polymer determine how the polymer reacts to the beam. The resulting profile seen in Figure 1 of the CO\(_2\)
FIGURE 1
SEM micrographs of (a) as-received (AR) PET sample and CO2 laser surface engineered PET films; (b) CO2.4T; (c) CO2.5T; (d) CO2.4H; (e) CO2.5H; and (f) a KrF excimer laser surface engineered film (EX.1T).

laser engineered patterns and the heat affected zone (HAZ) are a result of the combination of these factors.

From the SEM micrographs shown in Figures 1(b) to (e) it can be seen that as a direct result of the interaction of the CO2 laser beam with the PET film surfaces, features were created via controlled and selective melting. This
is evidenced by the craters formed from bubbles and subsequent resolidification which has formed the different track patterns shown in Figures 1(a) to (j). This complicated structure is likely associated with the thermally induced stress release in the biaxially oriented film caused by the CO$_2$ laser beam interaction with the PET film [56]. In comparison to the track patterns (cf. Figures 1(b) to (f)), both hatch patterns (cf. Figures 1(h) to (j)) have resulted in wider tracks being formed along the ordinate direction of the pattern. This is because the CO$_2$ laser beam actually processes the PET surface twice, with the CO$_2$ laser beam passing over the initial track to create the hatch, causing the PET surface to remelting and resolidify, naturally creating larger surface features. The remelting and resolidification of the material on the hatch pattern has also resulted in some of the patterning becoming changed. Where the CO$_2$ laser beam has passed over the underlying track, the sides have been remelted and part of the track has subsequently filled in. Even so, the overall hatch and track patterns appear clean and well structured. It is worth noting that no discernible HAZ was observed under optical microscopic analysis (see Figures 1(a), (c), (e), (g) and (i)) and, therefore, the areas between the tracks were considered as as-received material.

The surface morphology of the PET changed little after KrF excimer laser surface engineering, so only SEM micrographs were used to examine the surface. A typical SEM micrograph for KrF excimer laser surface engineered sample EX$_1$T is shown in Figure 1(k), which shows the morphology to be formed of sphere-like aggregates.

The surface roughness values of the laser treated PET samples varied in accordance with the laser used. The value of the $R_a$ parameter was found to have increased considerably, from 0.06 ± 0.01 μm for the as-received control sample (AR) up to 8.58 ± 0.91 μm for CO$_2$.4T and 6.22 ± 0.79 μm for CO$_2$.5T (see Table 3). Similarly, the value of the $S_a$ surface roughness parameter experienced a marked increase from 0.22 ± 0.13 μm for AR up to 22.80 ± 4.43 μm for CO$_2$.4T and 10.47 ± 0.12 μm for CO$_2$.5T. The increase in surface roughness between AR and both CO$_2$.4T and CO$_2$.5T was found to be statistically significant with a mean difference of 8.58 μm, $p < 0.01$ and 6.62 μm, $p < 0.01$ for $R_a$, respectively; and 22.58 μm, $p < 0.01$ and 10.24 μm, $p = 0.01$ for $S_a$, respectively. Table 3 shows that both the $R_a$ and $S_a$ surface parameters for samples CO$_2$.4H and CO$_2$.5H were found to have increased markedly compared to AR. This discernible increase in surface roughness between the as-received sample and both hatch patterned samples was found to be statistically significant, with $p < 0.05$. From Table 3 one can see that along with an overall increase in surface roughness, $R_a$ and $S_a$ for samples CO$_2$.4T and CO$_2$.4H increased more in comparison with the wider spaced track and hatch patterned samples CO$_2$.5T and CO$_2$.5T. This difference in $R_a$ and $S_a$ increase was statistically significance with $p < 0.05$ and
### TABLE 3
Surface parameter data for each sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$R_a$ (μm)</th>
<th>$S_a$ (μm)</th>
<th>$R_t$ (μm)</th>
<th>$R_{sk}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−0.09 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_4T</td>
<td>8.58 ± 0.91</td>
<td>22.8 ± 4.43</td>
<td>49.03 ± 8.43</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_5T</td>
<td>6.22 ± 0.79</td>
<td>10.47 ± 0.12</td>
<td>43.50 ± 5.05</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_4H</td>
<td>16.13 ± 1.33</td>
<td>35.23 ± 3.12</td>
<td>107 ± 2.65</td>
<td>−1.21 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_5H</td>
<td>13.07 ± 0.81</td>
<td>22.8 ± 0.92</td>
<td>116 ± 4.36</td>
<td>−0.98 ± 0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX_1T</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.11</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX_2T</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.19</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX_1H</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.36</td>
<td>3.56 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX_2H</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.64 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is due to CO$_2$ laser beam processing of a larger surface area of the CO2\_4T and CO2\_4H samples which, in turn, created a markedly rougher surface. The statistical significances between the as-received PET film and the CO$_2$ laser engineered film is unsurprising as the CO$_2$ laser modification produced well defined topographical features, as is apparent from the change in $R_t$ (total height of the profile). Both hatch patterns achieved the peak/valley distances measuring 107.00 ± 2.70 μm for CO2\_4H and 116.00 ± 4.40 μm for CO2\_5H, compared to the peak/valley distances of the single track patterned samples or the as-received sample. As discussed above, this difference is explained by the second pass of the CO$_2$ laser beam over the PET sample surface on the hatch pattern billets, which was 90° to the first pass resulting in double the amount of CO$_2$ laser beam-material surface interaction where the two tracks passed. This can also be seen in the optical and SEM images given in Figure 1.

In contrast, Table 3 shows that the $R_a$ parameter for the KrF excimer laser treated PET samples EX\_1T and EX\_2T increased only marginally in comparison to 0.19 ± 0.11 μm and 0.23 ± 0.19 μm, respectively; nevertheless, this still represents an order of magnitude increase. The same was true for the value of the $S_a$ surface roughness parameter, increasing to 3.70 ± 0.15 μm and 3.88 ± 0.18 μm for EX\_1T and EX\_2T, respectively. The increase in surface roughness between AR and both EX\_1T and EX\_2T was found to be statistically significant with a mean difference of 0.19 μm, ($p = 0.01$) and 0.23 μm, ($p = 0.01$) for $R_a$, respectively; and 3.70 μm, ($p < 0.01$) and 3.88 μm, ($p = 0.01$) for $S_a$, respectively.

### 3.2 Surface chemistry analysis

#### 3.2.1 Composition and functional groups

XPS results are given in Table 4 and shown in terms of at.% and are normalised to 100% excluding H and He, which are not detected by the
TABLE 4
Surface compositions of the as-received and laser surface engineered PET samples as determined by XPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element and Photoelectron Line</th>
<th>Surface Composition (at.%) for Each Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na 1s</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O 1s</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 1s</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca 2p</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 1s</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 2p</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 2p</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg 2s</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si 2s/2p</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 1s</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all cases, the data show C and O in approximately the corrected atomic ratio expected for a pure PET reference sample, along with a number of lower level species. The lower level species include N, Ca, Na, S, P, Si and F. These are typical surface contamination elements resulting from handling and general exposure to the laboratory environment, and the amounts detected indicate sub-monolayer levels on the outer surface of the samples. One can see from Table 4 that the overall level of these surface contaminant species was reduced by the CO2 laser surface engineering – likely the result of low levels of local surface vaporization. The story was similar for the KrF excimer laser surface engineering, but in this instance the reduction in surface contaminant species would have resulted from the ultraviolet (UV) light ablation of the upper surface layers of the PET that contained the contaminants.

When viewing the results of Table 4 it is unsurprising that the spectra from the as-received and CO2 laser engineered were seen to be similar. The survey spectrum and the higher resolution scans over the C 1s and O 1s lines from the CO2 laser engineered CO2_SP04 sample are shown in Figure 2(a) as typical for example purposes. Apart from the presence of the minor surface contaminant species, the spectra are in very good agreement with reference data from pure spin-cast PET [57]. In Figure 2(b) and Figure 2(c) the C 1s and O 1s spectra have been deconvoluted to permit closer inspection of the surface functional groups. The C 1s spectrum shows components due to C-C bonds, C in ether bonds and C in ester bonds. The O 1s spectrum shows components due to oxygen in ether and carbonyl/ester groups, as expected. There was no significant modification of the number or relative proportions of
FIGURE 2
XPS spectra from the surface of CO2_4T showing (a) survey spectrum with principal peaks labelled (the small peak at around 760 eV binding energy is an artefact and should be ignored), and (b) and (c) higher resolution deconvoluted C 1s and O 1s spectra with chemically-shifted components labelled.

these components through the entire series of CO2 laser engineered samples, both in reference to one another (including the as-received sample (AR)) and the reference PET data. Small differences in the levels of trace contaminants aside, the spectra given in Figure 2 reveal there to be very little change in the surface chemistry of the PET after CO2 laser treatment.

Such negligible changes in the surface chemistry of polymers after interaction with infrared (IR) laser beams have been reported before. Lawrence and Li [49, 50] and Waugh and Lawrence [52] found that when surface treating polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) and polyethylene (PE) with CO2, Nd:YAG and high power diode laser (HPDL) beams, very little change in surface chemistry of the polymers could be discerned. As Figures 1(b) to (e) show, the melting induced on the surface of the PET with CO2 laser irradiation is selective and controlled with respect to area and time in the molten state. Previous work demonstrates that temperature influences oxygen uptake
by polymers. Hawkins et al. [58] showed many years ago that oxidation of polymers in the solid state was substantially less than in the molten state and Marechal et al. [59] revealed that the extent of time in the molten state correlated to more oxidation. Waugh [45, 51] CO2 laser surface engineered nylon 6,6 in a whole area manner, resulting in a high level of melting for a sufficiently long period of time to bring about significant oxygen diffusion.; indeed, Table 4 suggests that the CO2 laser processing parameters employed here brought about a level of melting insufficient to trigger oxygen absorption adequate to start effecting contact angle. In contrast with this work we have controlled melting at low power and high speed, resulting in little to no oxygen diffusion;

The findings of Lawrence and Li [49, 50], however, revealed that the effects of laser-induced surface chemistry changes cannot be completely discounted, especially surface oxygen content. These workers discovered laser beam wavelength range to be a factor in triggering surface chemistry changes in polymers, categorizing IR laser beams as bringing about little to no change, whilst UV laser beams precipitated significant changes.

As one can see from Table 4, after interaction with the KrF excimer laser beam the surface oxygen content of the PET increased markedly. An increase in surface oxygen content of a number of polymer materials after excimer laser interaction has been documented [49, 50, 60]. Such an occurrence is due to the fact that polymers undergo main-chain scission when subjected to concentrated levels of UV radiation in air [61], increasing the C-O bonds (esters and alcoholic groups) and O=O=C-O bonds (esters carboxyl groups) [62]. The C-C bonding was seen to decrease. This is explained by the photon energy of the KrF laser beam of 643.8 kJ/mol being sufficient to induce chain scission of the C-C bonds, which have a bonding energy of 347 kJ/mol. In addition, oxidative decomposition and volatization by the excited oxygen atoms O(1D) will have taken place and created oxygen functionalities of the C-O and O=O=C-O bonds on the PET surface [61]. So, when exposed to the excimer laser radiation under the selected laser operating parameters, the PET can easily generate free radicals as transient species. The oxygen in the air can then readily react with the free radicals under the high temperatures generated on the surface of the PET. It is suggested that oxidation in this manner is the reason for the observed increase in the surface oxygen content of the PET after KrF excimer laser treatment.

3.2.2 Crystallinity
The complexity of the vibrational spectrum of partially crystalline PET is a result of the split of the absorption bands into amorphous and crystalline modes and also the fact that they are sensitive to chain configuration and orientation [63]. Due to this, assignment of the bands to crystalline and amorous
regions has proven to be difficult and led to ongoing differences in interpretation; however Chen et al. [63] found the carbonyl absorption band has a maximum absorption in the amorphous regions at 1727 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 1717 cm\(^{-1}\) in crystalline material, such that on crystallization the intensity of the higher wavenumber band decreases and is progressively shifted to lower wavenumber. These changes make the ratio of the two carbonyl absorption bands a convenient method of measuring the fractional crystallinity of PET.

Figure 3 gives the ATR-FTIR spectra of the as-received (AR) and a CO\(_2\) laser modified (CO\(_2\).4T) PET sample (as typical for example purposes), both contrasted with the spectrum of PET from a standardized database (Know-It-All Informatics System 2014, BioRAD Laboratories). With reference to the as-received PET spectra, the band at 1717 cm\(^{-1}\) has increased for CO\(_2\).4T, suggesting that the CO\(_2\) laser surface engineering resulted in higher crystallinity. In contrast, ATR-FTIR spectra for the KrF excimer laser modified PET showed no discernible difference to that of the as-received spectra. This is not surprising because the KrF laser beam-PET interaction at the fluences used effected atomic layer-scale ablation only, not being sufficiently high to result in any reordering or melting. The work of Hsu et al. [64] revealed that KrF laser fluences of greater than 2 J/cm\(^2\) were needed to bring about changes to the crystallinity of PET.
The XRD patterns with $2\theta$ between 18.0 and 35.0° of the as-received and CO$_2$ laser engineered PET films are given in Figure 4. After CO$_2$ laser treatment the peak at 26.0° sharpens and shifts slightly to the left (25.8°) while a peak at 34.2° begins to appear, also suggesting the crystallinity of the PET films has increased after the patterns were engineered on to the surface using the CO$_2$ laser beam. It is reasonable to say that the CO$_2$ laser-induced resolidification process favours a more crystalline structure, implying that the laser process is effectively reducing the level of entanglement within the polymer allowing a somewhat more ordered structure to be established. This is possibly due to the short, but intense melt applied by the CO$_2$ laser beam as a result of such IR wavelengths acting as heat sources. The abrupt, and directional, removal of the heat source caused by inherent the rapid processing associated with lasers is likely what facilitates this ordering of the polymer. The fact that there was no such melting and resolidification process taking place during KrF excimer laser surface engineering of the PET samples means that there would be no occurrence of peak shifting to be observed from XRD analysis, indicating that there was no real change in the crystalline nature of the material.

### 3.3 Wettability performance and the hydrophilic/hydrophobic reaction

Table 5 shows that CO$_2$ laser engineering of the PET surface brought about an across the board statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in contact angle. The measured contact angles were, for the most part, doubled from the
hydrophilic as-received sample, with all CO2 laser engineered PET contact angles being hydrophobic and greater than 100°. In contrast, the measured contact angles for the KrF excimer laser engineered PET surfaces experienced increased hydrophilicity, with the contact angle for all samples reducing statistically significantly ($p < 0.04$) by at least half from the as-received sample.

Laser surface engineering produced marked changes in the surface free energy and the polar component of the surface free energy of the PET, as can be seen from Table 3. When subjected to CO2 laser surface engineering surface free energy of the PET fell by a statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) order of magnitude, as too did the polar component of the surface free energy of the PET ($p < 0.01$). The opposite effect took place on the PET surfaces following KrF excimer laser treatment. Here it can be seen from Table 5 that considerable increases in surface free energy ($p = 0.01$) and polar component of the surface free energy of the PET ($p < 0.01$) occurred.

It is possible now to consider the laser-induced changes in the PET wettability characteristics with reference to the gathered topographical and chemical data. CO2 laser surface engineering induced a change in the wettability characteristics of the PET from the hydrophilic as-received state to post-CO2 laser processed hydrophobic state. Table 4 and Figure 2 show statistically negligible change in the surface chemistry of the CO2 laser engineered PET, whilst Figure 3 and Figure 4 shows some marginal increased crystallinity; however, Table 3 shows statistically significant changes in topography, with every measure of roughness and surface asperity increasing many fold. In terms of topography the same was observed for the KrF excimer laser engineered PET surfaces, although, still statistically significant, the magnitude of change was less pronounced when compared with the effects of CO2 laser radiation. But, Table 4 reveals statistically significant changes in the surface

Table 5
Contact angle formed by water droplets on the PET samples and the corresponding surface energy values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Contact Angle, $\theta$(°)</th>
<th>Surface Free Energy, $\gamma_s$(mJ/m²)</th>
<th>Polar Component, $\gamma_p$(mJ/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>77.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>36.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>29.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2.4T</td>
<td>137.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2.5T</td>
<td>143.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2.4H</td>
<td>114.2 ± 4.4</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2.5H</td>
<td>116.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>17.6 ± 5.6</td>
<td>9.7 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX.1T</td>
<td>34.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>60.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>36.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX.2T</td>
<td>35.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>62.4 ± 3.2</td>
<td>37.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX.1H</td>
<td>30.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>77.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>42.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX.2H</td>
<td>28.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>80.7 ± 3.2</td>
<td>43.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
chemistry of the KrF excimer laser engineered PET, particularly in the O 1s line which denotes an increase in the surface oxygen content. All of this has culminated in the PET surface becoming more hydrophilic as a result of KrF excimer laser surface engineering.

Wetting is known to be governed by the first atomic layers of the surface of a material and the oxygen content of a material’s surface is an influential factor governing its wetting performance [66, 67]. In an untreated state peaks in the O 1s spectrum of PET are assigned to O=C (esters) bonding (O1) and O-C (ethers) bonding (O2). Table 4 shows that the O 1s spectra of the KrF excimer laser surface engineered PET samples showed a statistically significant increase in O=C esters and carboxyl groups, and O-C bonding of ethers and carboxyl groups. Naturally then, polar components such as alcoholic and carboxyl groups would form on the KrF excimer laser engineered PET surfaces. This indicates that there is agreement between the XPS spectra results in Table 4 and the calculated surface free energy values given in Table 5. In addition, these results show that the KrF excimer laser surface engineering multiplied the hydrophobicity of the PET surface through the creation of additional polar components, as clearly demonstrated by the results given in Table 5. Interestingly, the increased crystallinity of the PET surface that can be seen in Figure 3 and Figure 4 resulting from CO2 laser interaction appears to have not influenced the wettability characteristics. Table 4 shows there to be no significant change in the O 1s spectrum of the CO2 laser engineered PET surfaces, whilst Table 5 shows that a considerable reduction in surface free energy and polar component of the surface free energy of the PET took place. Clearly, the reduction in polar component indicates that oxygen-containing polar functional groups were not generated because the IR nature of the CO2 laser beam operated with the chosen parameters did not cause photo-oxidation on the PET surface. Again, this result is in agreement with the XPS data in Table 4 and the calculated surface free energy values given in Table 5.

At this point it can be asserted from the findings that the observed increase from the as-received condition in contact angle undergone by all CO2 laser engineered PET was driven by the changes in surface topography. Wetting of a rough surface can either follow the Wenzel, Cassie-Baxter or an intermediate mixed-state regime [65], as shown in Figure 5.

In a homogeneous wetting situation described by Figure 5(a), then Wenzel’s equation stands as providing an approximation of the contact angle:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{r_a(\gamma_s - \gamma_{sl})}{\gamma_{lv}}$$

(8)

where \(r_a\) is the roughness factor defined as the ratio of the real and apparent surface areas. In this instance, unless extremely large changes are made
to the surface chemistry of the material, large enough to increase greatly the polar component of the surface free energy of the PET, then the surface roughness will effectively solely govern changes to the contact angle. So, essentially one can see that for the CO₂ laser engineered PET surfaces with their much rougher surfaces and effectively unchanged surface oxygen content, and thus the almost unchanged polar component of the surface free energy of the PET, would inherently follow the theoretical framework given by Wenzel’s equation and lead to an increased contact angle in accordance with Equation (8). In a heterogeneous wetting situation described by Figure 5(b), then the Cassie-Baxter equation stands as providing an approximation of the contact angle:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{r_a f (\gamma_s - \gamma_{st})}{\gamma_l} + f - 1 \quad (9)$$

where \( f \) is the fraction of the solid surface area wet by the liquid. Again, one can see from the Cassie-Baxter equation that without sufficiently large increases in the polar component, then the surface roughness will be the singular factor at play to determine changes to the contact angle. So once again, because the CO₂ laser engineered PET surfaces are dominated by their rougher surfaces and almost unchanged polar component of the surface free energy, they will certainly follow the theoretical framework given by Cassie-Baxter equation, causing contact angle increases in accordance with Equation (9). At present there is no accepted mathematical expression for intermediate mixed-state wetting regime; nevertheless, it is still reasonable to state that regardless of the type of rough surface, surface roughness dominates contact angle values on the CO₂ laser engineered PET surfaces simply because of the virtual absence of the necessary chemical changes required to increase the polar component of the surface free energy value. There is a very different regime at play governing the contact angle of the KrF excimer laser surface engineered PET. Here the contact angle has decreased despite the KrF excimer laser irradiation roughening the PET surface. This surface
TABLE 6
Selected surface parameter data, selected surface compositions, and contact angle formed by water droplets and the corresponding surface energy values for CO2 and KrF excimer laser surface engineered PET samples in ambient air and oxygen-rich atmospheres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$R_a$ (μm)</th>
<th>$S_a$ (μm)</th>
<th>O 1s (at.%</th>
<th>C 1s (at.%</th>
<th>Contact Angle, $\theta$ (°)</th>
<th>Surface Free Energy, $\gamma_s$ (mJ/m²)</th>
<th>Polar Component, $\gamma^p$ (mJ/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO2_4T</td>
<td>8.58 ± 0.91</td>
<td>22.8 ± 4.43</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>137.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>4.25 ± 2.29</td>
<td>2.27 ± 2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_4T_O</td>
<td>8.61 ± 0.89</td>
<td>23.4 ± 4.34</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>121.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.87 ± 2.08</td>
<td>3.89 ± 1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX_2T</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.19</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.18</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>35.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>62.36 ± 3.24</td>
<td>37.12 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EX_2T_O</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.21</td>
<td>3.79 ± 0.15</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>28.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>68.41 ± 2.05</td>
<td>39.98 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

roughening, according to Equation (8) and Equation (9), should have brought about an increase in the contact angle - the reverse of what was actually observed. Clearly, the chemical changes in the shape of enhancement of the oxygen-rich functional groups and the subsequent increased polar nature of the surface of the PET after KrF excimer laser irradiation have influenced the contact angle value; indeed, as a number of workers have seen [64, 65, 46-48], when polymers have an increased polar nature to their surfaces this overrides, to a degree, the effect on contact angle of increased surface roughness. Even though the increase in surface roughness of KrF excimer laser engineered PET was not as much as that of the CO2 laser surface engineered samples, it was still an order of magnitude increase over the as-received sample that ought to have yielded an increase in contact angle according to Equation (8) and Equation (9). Considering this aspect further reinforces the counteractive influence that the increased polar nature of the PET surface has on the contact angle.

To explore this PET surface roughness- polar component of the surface free energy of the PET finding further, additional work was carried out. This involved reprocessing a PET sample with the CO2 laser and KrF excimer laser beams using selected previous experimental parameters. The sample sets selected were CO2_4T and EX_2T, but in this new experimental work they were laser surface engineered within an oxygen-rich atmosphere rather than in ambient air. This new sample set was CO2_4T_O for the CO2 laser and EX_2T_O for the KrF excimer laser.

It is clear from Table 6 that laser processing in the presence of an increased oxygen atmosphere, regardless of the laser source, influences contact angle. With surface topography remaining the same as the samples processed in ambient air, this atmosphere richer in oxygen has resulted in the laser engineered PET surfaces becoming more polar which, in turn, has brought about a reduction in contact angle. The CO2 laser surface engineered sample processed in the oxygen-rich atmosphere (CO2_4T_O) is still
hydrophobic, but Table 4 shows that surface oxygen increased and contact angle decreased from the equivalent sample treated in air (CO2.4T). The effect of the oxygen-rich atmosphere on the KrF excimer laser surface engineered sample (EX.2T.O) was the make its surface even more polar in nature and this caused the contact angle to decrease from the equivalent sample treated in air (EX.2T).

4 CONCLUSIONS

Using laser beam wavelength and selected operating parameters as a means for the controlled surface engineering of polyethylene terephthalate (PET) to deliver tailored surfaces with bespoke levels of wettability has been demonstrated. The science and technology underpinning this work will be applicable to most polymers. The key findings resulting from this work are:

(i) CO2 laser surface engineering is capable of generating surface features without modifying the chemical composition of the PET surface layer;

(ii) As well as being capable of generating surface features, KrF excimer laser surface engineering also modifies chemistry of the PET surface layer;

(iii) Since CO2 laser surface engineering of the PET effects no chemistry change, then no change in the polar nature of the PET surface occurs. The topography is rougher in nature after CO2 laser surface engineering so contact angle follows the theories of Wenzel, etc. and increases. This caused the surface of the PET to change from hydrophilic to hydrophobic;

(iv) KrF excimer laser surface engineering changed both the topography and chemistry of the surface of the PET, resulting in a surface that was rougher and more oxygen-rich with functional groups enhanced to make surface more polar in nature. This increased polar characteristic has more of an effect than the topography so contact angle decreased and the surface of the PET became more hydrophilic; and

(v) The governing effect of surface oxygen content on the polar component of the surface free energy of the PET was verified when conditions were set (processing in an oxygen-rich atmosphere) to bring about an increase on surface oxygen content in the PET surface. After both CO2 and KrF laser surface engineering under such conditions, the contact angle decreased in both cases despite no change in topography.

This work contributes to the wider knowledge base around the laser surface engineering of polymers, moving it towards a new science-based
platform from where it is possible to design and fabricate at scale wettability characteristics that render the polymer suitable for a given application. The outcome of being able to manipulate the polar component of the surface free energy of the PET (through atmospheric oxygen content) and control the contact angle behaviour of the surface of PET presents new opportunities in many areas. Such opportunities include a controllable means for designing and producing anti-bacterial barriers at scale on polymer sheets and films, and improved adhesion and peel characteristics on polymer films used in packaging.
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